

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Paatero

Application No.: 10 /090,426 Filed: Feb. 28, 2002

Group No.: 2681 Examiner: TBA

FOR METHOD AND SYSTEM TO ALLOW PERFORMANCE OF PERMITTED ACTIVITY WITH

RESPECT TO A DEVICE

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

WARNING: Failure to file a complete response in compliance with § 1.135(c) leads to a reduction in patent term adjustment - See § 1.704(c)(7).

STATUS

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

				HECEIVED					
2.	Applic	ant	is	M	AR 0 4 2003				
		a s	small entity. A statement:						
			is attached.	Techno	ology Center 2600				
			was already filed.						
	X	oth	ner than a small entity.						
			(When using Express Mail, the	ER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* e Express Mail label number is mandatory il certification is optional.)	;				
l he	ereby cer	tify th	hat, on the date shown below, t	his correspondence is being:					
				MAILING					
X			th the United States Postal Sen Washington, D.C. 20231	vice in an envelope addressed to the Assi	stant Commissioner				
		37	7 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *					
X	with suf	ficien	it postage as first class mail.	as "Express Mail Post Office to Ac					
				Mailing Label No	(mandatory)				
			TI	RANSMISSION					
	facsimil	e tran	nsmitted to the Patent and Trade	emark Office (703))				
	0.11			Signature					
Da	te: <u>2/1</u>	1/03	<u>5</u>	Cathy Wilcox					
				(type or print name of person certifying	g)				

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 1 of 4)

REALINE

EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. § 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. § 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) ". . .an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."

The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

(a)	Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136
	(fees: 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4) for the total number of months checked below:

	Extension	Fee for other than	Fee for small entity		
	(months)	small entity			
	one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00		
	two months	\$ 400.00	\$ 200.00		
	three months	\$ 920.00	\$ 460.00		
· 🔲	four months	\$ 1,440.00	\$ 720.00		

Fee: \$_____

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

An extension for	months h	nas alread	y been s	secured.	The fee
paid therefor of \$ is	deducted fro	om the tot	al fee d	due for tl	ne total
months of extension now reques	ted.				

Extension fee due with this request \$_____

OR

(b) Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this is a conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 2 of 4)

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. T	he f	ee for cla	aims (37 C	.F.R.	§ 1.	16(b)-	(d))	has I	been ca	lculat	ed as	sh		elow: Than a	
	(Col. 1)			(Col. 2)			(C	ol. 3)	SMALL ENTITY				SMALL ENTITY		
		CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER MENDMEN		PR	SHEST EVIOUS AID FO	SLY		ESENT XTRA	RATE	ADI FE		OR	RATE	ADDIT. FEE	
TOTAL	•	44	MINUS	••	40		=	4	x\$9=	\$			x\$18 =	\$ 72.00	
INDEP	•	5	MINUS	•••	3		=	2	x\$42 =	\$			x\$84 =	\$168.0	
☐ FIRS	ST PR	RESENTATI	ON OF MUL	TIPLE	DEP. (CLAIM			+ \$140 =	\$			+ \$280 =	\$	
								AD	TOTAL DIT. FEE	\$	C	P	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$	240.00	
	The	"Highest No in Col. 1 of 3: "After fi	No. Previously o. Previously a prior amenal rejection of y requirement	Paid ndmei	For" (Tent or the form (§ 1	otal or e num 1.113) a	inde ber amer	ep.) is to of clair andment	the highes ns origina s <i>may be i</i>	t numl lly filed made d	oer fou I. :ancellii	nd i	claims or	complying	
			(0	comp	olete (c) or	(d),	as ap	oplicable	e)					
(c)		No add	itional fee	for c	laims	is re	qui	red.							
						C	R								
(d)	X	Total ad	dditional fe	e fo	clain	ns red	quir	ed \$.	240.0)					
					FE	E PA	YK	/ENT	-						
X	Att	ached is	a 🖺 che	ck (⊒ mo	ney c	orde	er in	the amo	ount o	of \$ <u>2</u>	40	.00		
	Aut	horizatio	n is hereby	y ma	de to	char	ge 1	the ar	mount o	f \$					
		to Depo	sit Accour	nt No	o				_						
			it card as O-2038.	shov	vn on	the a	atta	ched	credit c	ard in	forma	tio	n autho	orization	
WAR	NINC	: Credit d	ard informati	ion sh	ould n e	ot be i	nclu	ded on	this form	as it r	nay be	con	ne public.		
		•	additional orized abo		requi	red b	y th	nis pa _l	per or c	redit a	any o	ver	paymer	nt in the	
	A c	luplicate	of this par	oer is	s attac	ched.									

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]—page 3 of 4)

FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

		110		-	AND	/AP			
٠.		•	23-0442		ana, o		io roquirou,	onarge	7.000011
6	(X)	If any	additional	extension	and/or	fee	is required,	charge	Account

If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 23-0442

Reg. No.: 45,858

Tel. No.: (203) 261-1234

Customer No.: 004955

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Andrew T. Hyman

(type or print name of practitioner)

Ware, Fressola, Van Der Sluys & Adolphson

Bradford Green, Building Five

P.O. Address

755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224

Monroe, CT 06468-0224

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 4 of 4)